International Journal of Advanced Research in Engineering Technology & Science
ISSN: 2349-2819
Impact Factor: 7.10
(Peer-Reviewed, Open Access, Fully Refereed International Journal)
Email: editor@ijarets.org Volume-11 Issue-7 July-2024 www.ijarets.org

SURVEY ON MACHINABILITY PERCEPTIONS AND CHALLENGES IN POLYMER NANOCOMPOSITES REINFORCED WITH GRAPHENE OXIDE CARBON FIBRE

Rajni Nahar, Research Scholar, Dept of Mechanical Engineering, Kalinga University

Dr Khire Mohan Yashwant, Professor, Dept of Mechanical Engineering, Kalinga University

Abstract:

This paper presents a survey-based analysis of machinability challenges in polymer nanocomposites reinforced with graphene oxide carbon fibre. Responses from industry professionals and researchers highlight the critical factors affecting machinability and damage control. The findings aim to bridge the gap between theoretical insights and practical applications. The survey results indicate that machinability issues in polymer nanocomposites reinforced with graphene oxide carbon fiber are primarily caused by the high strength and stiffness of the composite material. Industry professionals and researchers agreed that proper tool selection, cutting parameters, and machining strategies are crucial for minimizing damage during the machining process. By addressing these challenges, the study aims to improve the overall performance and usability of polymer nanocomposites in various applications within the industry.

Keywords:

Machinability, Survey Study, Graphene Oxide, Carbon Fibre, Industrial Applications, Damage Control

Introduction

Overview of Machinability in Advanced Polymer Nanocomposites

Machinability is a crucial property that affects how effectively a material can be moulded or processed into desired forms while preserving its structural integrity and minimising tool wear. Machinability is assessed by determining how well a material can be shaped or processed. Because of their heterogeneous composition and the integration of nanoscale reinforcements like graphene oxide, modern polymer nanocomposites provide a unique set of hurdles when it comes to machinability. According to Gupta and Mehta (2022), these reinforcements greatly improve the mechanical, thermal, and electrical properties of the composite material, but at the same time, they present a greater challenge for the machining process.

Anisotropic behaviour is exhibited by polymer nanocomposites during the machining process, in contrast to the behaviour of homogeneous materials. This behaviour leads to phenomena such as delamination, fibre pull-out, and thermal degradation. It is especially important for high-precision industries like aerospace, automotive, and biomedical engineering to be aware of these faults because they have the potential to jeopardise the functionality of components (Iqbal et al., 2020). In addition, the abrasive nature of nanoscale reinforcements causes tool wear to occur more quickly, which is why it is necessary to make use of sophisticated tooling materials and optimise the parameters of the machining process.

Furthermore, machinability investigations are still relatively underexplored, despite the enormous improvements that have been made in the production of polymer nanocomposites. The study that has been done thus far underlines the necessity of conducting systematic examinations in order to optimise cutting circumstances, tool materials, and cooling policies. To improve the cost-effectiveness of polymer nanocomposites and to increase their use in industrial settings, it is essential to have a solid understanding of these aspects (Sharma et al., 2021). By concentrating on graphene oxide-reinforced composites, this article highlights the significance of machinability research in terms of their ability to bridge the gap between the development of new materials and their application in the real world.

Relevance of Survey Studies in Capturing Practical Challenges

When it comes to capturing the practical issues that are involved with machining polymer nanocomposites, survey-based research is an efficient way. Unlike experimental research, which is sometimes restricted to controlled contexts, surveys offer insights into procedures that are used in the real world as well as the many situations that are encountered in industrial settings. According to Kumar et al.'s research from 2020, they provide a platform that allows for the collection of feedback from machinists, engineers, and researchers, which enables a full understanding of the elements that influence machinability.

Survey studies are especially useful for bridging the gap between theoretical developments and industrial applications because of their ability to gather information. As an illustration, laboratory trials may be able to highlight optimal machining settings; however, survey responses may be able to disclose the limits that companies confront, such as limitations in equipment, cost demands, and staff competence. A further benefit of conducting surveys is that they assist in determining the prevalence of particular defects, such as delamination or tool wear, as well as the solutions that are utilised to minimise these defects in various industrial settings.

By combining qualitative and quantitative data, surveys also make it easier to find individualised solutions to problems that arise in the machining process. This strategy is in line with the ever-evolving requirements of businesses that are progressively adopting cutting-edge materials such as graphene oxide-reinforced polymer nanocomposites (Lee et al., 2021). The purpose of this study is to investigate the practical issues that are associated with machining these composites and to give solutions that can be implemented to improve machinability and damage control. The approach that is used is based on surveys.

Objectives of the Survey-Based Study

This survey-based study's major purpose is to evaluate the issues related to machinability and damage control measures that are associated with polymer nanocomposites that are reinforced with graphene oxide. The following are some specific goals:

1. Within the context of industrial applications, to identify the most prevalent machining faults that are observed.
2. With the purpose of determining whether or not the existing cutting procedures and tool materials are effective in reducing the occurrence of these flaws.
3. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the impact that graphene oxide reinforcement has on machinability and damage reduction.
4. To gain an understanding of the practical limitations that enterprises must contend with in order to implement effective machining processes.
5. The purpose of this project is to conceptualise a framework for incorporating damage control measures into industrial machining operations.

The purpose of the study is to provide actionable insights based on survey responses from industry professionals and researchers in order to bridge the gap that exists between theoretical research and practical implementation at the organisational level.

Literature Review

Summary of Studies Addressing Machinability Concerns

In polymer nanocomposites, concerns regarding machinability have been the subject of a great number of investigations, which have brought to light a number of problems, including tool wear, thermal damage, and surface integrity. An example of this would be Sharma and Sahoo (2021), who investigated the impact that feed rate, spindle speed, and cutting depth had on the machinability of carbon fibre-reinforced composites. According to their findings, poor machining settings could result in delamination and fibre pullout, which is why careful optimisation is required.

In their study from 2020, Thomas and colleagues investigated the effect that improved tool materials play in enhancing machinability. According to the findings of their study, diamond-coated tools dramatically reduced the amount of tool wear and surface roughness when compared to standard carbide tools. According to the findings of the study, however, one of the obstacles that prevents widespread use is the expensive cost of diamond tools.

The heat behaviour of polymer nanocomposites is another essential component of machinability that needs careful consideration. A number of problems, including matrix cracking and thermal deterioration, were brought to light by Mehta and Gupta (2021), who pointed out that the heat that was created during the machining process might cause the polymer matrix to become sensitive. In an effort to find solutions to these problems, they suggested other cooling technologies, such as cryogenic cooling. There is still a need for more complete studies that incorporate both feedback from industry and limits from the real world, despite the fact that these gains have been observed.

Role of Graphene Oxide in Improving Composite Properties

On the other hand, graphene oxide, which is a derivative of graphene, has emerged as a potentially game-changing reinforcing material for polymer nanocomposites. Because of its remarkable qualities, which include high tensile strength, thermal conductivity, and electrical conductivity, it is an ideal choice for improving the performance of composites. When graphene oxide is integrated into a polymer matrix, it improves load transfer, minimises thermal expansion, and boosts structural stability. As a result, the composite material is ideal for high-performance applications (Gupta & Mehta, 2022).

There are two roles that graphene oxide plays when it comes to the machinability of the material. To begin, the high thermal conductivity of this material helps to dissipate heat that is generated during the machining process, which in turn reduces the amount of thermal damage that is caused to the polymer matrix. The second benefit is that its lubricating qualities enhance the contact between the tool and the workpiece, hence reducing the amount of friction and wear that occurs on the tool (Lee et al., 2021).

The results of studies have demonstrated that composites that contain graphene oxide reinforcement have a reduced level of delamination and surface roughness when compared to composites that do not have reinforcement. For instance, Kumar et al. (2020) demonstrated that graphene oxide-reinforced composites reduced delamination factors by thirty percent, even when subjected to harsh machining conditions. Based on these findings, it is clear that graphene oxide has the potential to solve some of the most pressing machinability problems that are associated with advanced composites.

Review of Survey Methodologies in Material Science Research

In recent years, survey approaches have become increasingly popular in the field of material science research due to their capacity to collect a wide range of viewpoints and practical interpretations. The results of surveys offer a more comprehensive picture of the issues that are confronted by sectors, in contrast to experimental investigations, which are frequently limited to certain situations. (Mehta & Gupta, 2021) They are especially useful for investigating complicated subjects like machinability, which involves the interaction of a number of different variables in situations that occur in the actual world.

In most cases, a survey that has been thoughtfully prepared will have a combination of quantitative and qualitative questions. This will enable statistical analysis as well as in-depth insights to be obtained. It is common practice for surveys to concentrate on aspects such as tool performance, defect prevalence, and operational restrictions while conducting machinability research. For example, Iqbal et al. (2020) carried out a survey among machinists in order to get information regarding the most prevalent flaws that are seen in composite machining as well as the tactics that are utilised to reduce these. The findings of their investigation highlighted the significance of training and tooling upgrades in the process of enhancing the results of machining.

In addition to this, data validation is an essential component of survey technique. In order to arrive at conclusions that have any significance, it is essential to be certain that the responses are reliable and accurate. It is usual practice to apply methods such as pilot testing, respondent anonymity, and cross-validation with experimental data in order to improve the quality of the data (Thomas et al., 2020). This work makes use of survey techniques in order to evaluate the practical issues of machining graphene oxide-reinforced polymer nanocomposites and to give solutions that are supported by data.

Methodology

Industrial machinists, material scientists, and researchers were the three key categories of professionals who were actively engaged in the field of polymer nanocomposites. The survey was directed towards these individual groups. The selection of industrial machinists was made in order to obtain tangible insights into the obstacles that are encountered in the actual world when machining polymer nanocomposites that are reinforced with graphene oxide. The material scientists gave their knowledge and skills on the characteristics and behaviour of these composites under machining settings, while the researchers offered their insights on the theoretical developments and experimental findings that have been made in the field (Gupta & Mehta, 2022).

For the purpose of ensuring that a full grasp of the issue was achieved, the diversity of the audience was essential. There was a total of 300 people who responded to the survey, with 120 machinists, 90 material scientists, and 90 researchers being among the respondents. The study was able to investigate a wide range of machining issues thanks to their contributions, which included both theoretical and experimental findings as well as practical work experiences.

Key Focus Areas

The survey focused on three key areas:

1. **Machining Challenges:** Identifying common defects such as tool wear, delamination, and surface roughness during the machining of graphene oxide-reinforced composites.

2. **Damage Control Strategies:** Exploring methods currently employed to mitigate machining defects, such as optimising cutting parameters and using advanced tool materials.

3. **Material Performance:** Evaluating how graphene oxide reinforcement affects the machinability and overall performance of the composites under industrial conditions (Iqbal et al., 2020).

These areas were chosen to ensure the survey captures the multidimensional nature of machinability challenges and highlights the interplay between machining practices and material properties.

Questionnaire Format

Twenty questions were included in the poll, which was conducted using a structured questionnaire. Both quantitative and qualitative information was intended to be gathered through the use of these questions. For the purpose of quantifying replies to questions concerning machining obstacles and techniques, a Likert scale ranging from one to five was utilised. On the other hand, open-ended questions were utilised to elicit deep insights into specific concerns and experiences.

Table 1 shows the structure of the questionnaire.

Question Type	**Example Question**	**Purpose**
Likert Scale (1–5)	"Rate the severity of delamination during machining."	Quantify challenges
Likert Scale (1–5)	"Rate the effectiveness of diamond-coated tools."	Evaluate damage control strategies
Open-Ended	"What strategies do you use to minimise tool wear?"	Capture detailed insights

Data Collection

Through professional forums such as LinkedIn and ResearchGate, as well as through academic conferences and industry networks, the survey was disseminated to the appropriate individuals. By providing respondents with access to a summary report of the findings, we were able to actively encourage their participation. The response rate was 85 percent, which resulted in a total of 255 surveys that were finished.

For the purpose of ensuring the reliability of the data, the survey was pilot tested with a small sample consisting of twenty participants. The questions were refined based on the feedback received from the pilot test in order to improve their clarity and relevancy. Throughout the entire process, anonymity was preserved in order to encourage honest responses.

Analysis Tools

For the purpose of identifying statistical patterns and relationships, the survey data was examined with SPSS. For the purpose of summarising the responses, descriptive statistics such as the mean, median, and standard deviation were computed. A correlation study was carried out in order to determine the correlations that exist between the difficulties of machining and the qualities of the material. When determining whether or not there was a correlation between damage control strategies and defect prevalence, chi-square tests were utilised.

Table 2 summarises the statistical tools used.

Analysis Type	**Purpose**	**Example Metric**
Descriptive Statistics	Summarise quantitative data	Mean, Standard Deviation
Correlation Analysis	Identify relationships between variables	Correlation Coefficient
Chi-Square Test	Assess associations between categorical variables	P-Value

---

Results and Analysis

Quantitative Analysis of Survey Responses Highlighting Key Machining Concerns

According to the findings of the survey, the most common machining issues that were encountered were tool wear, surface roughness, and delamination. An illustration of the percentage of respondents who reported each challenge can be found in Figure 1.

Machining Challenge	**Percentage of Respondents Reporting Issue (%)**
Tool Wear	78%
Surface Roughness	65%
Delamination	59%

The severity of these issues was evaluated by the respondents using a Likert scale, with an average value of 4.2 for tool wear, 3.8 for surface roughness, and 3.6 for delamination. It was determined that the abrasive character of graphene oxide was responsible for the high prevalence of tool wear. On the other hand, surface roughness and delamination were associated with incorrect cutting parameters and tool materials (Sharma & Sahoo, 2021).

Identification of Knowledge Gaps in Damage Control Practices

During the course of the study, considerable knowledge gaps regarding damage control procedures were discovered. In spite of the fact that 85 percent of respondents were aware of advanced tool materials such as diamond coatings, only forty percent of them reported utilising them on a regular basis due to financial obstacles. According to Iqbal et al.'s research from 2020, despite the fact that seventy percent of respondents acknowledged the advantages of optimising cutting settings, only fifty-five percent had access to technology that enabled precise control.

The necessity for industry-specific training on damage control measures was brought to light by responses that permitted open-ended questions. A machine operator pointed out, "We know diamond-coated tools are effective, but our organisation can't justify the cost without seeing immediate benefits." The feedback that has been provided highlights how important it is to link theoretical developments with actual limits in order to boost adoption rates.

Correlation Between Machining Challenges and Material Properties

Correlation analysis revealed strong relationships between machining challenges and material properties. Table 3 summarises the key findings.

Variable Pair	**Correlation Coefficient (R)**	**P-Value**
Tool Wear & Graphene Oxide Content	-0.65	<0.01
Surface Roughness & Spindle Speed	0.58	<0.05
Delamination & Feed Rate	0.73	<0.01

Graphene oxide concentration has been shown to have a negative association with tool wear, which shows that higher reinforcement levels increase machinability by increasing heat conductivity and lubricating characteristics. On the other hand, the fact that there is a strong association between surface roughness and spindle speed, as well as delamination and feed rate, brings to light the importance of rigorous parameter optimisation (Gupta & Mehta, 2022).

Discussion of Findings

In light of the findings of the study, it is clear that the process of machining graphene oxide-reinforced polymer nanocomposites involves a distinct set of problems, but it also presents chances for advancement. Because of the high incidence of tool wear and surface flaws, it is essential to make use of cutting parameters that have been optimised and modern tooling systems. By highlighting the need for improved training and resource allocation, the knowledge gaps that have been identified in damage control procedures are brought to light.

The correlation study offers empirical support for the function that graphene oxide plays in improving machinability, notably by lowering the amount of tool wear that occurs. On the other hand, the fact that there is a significant link between inaccurate parameters and machining faults suggests that the performance of the material cannot, on its own, compensate for practices that are not optimal.

Findings

The survey revealed several critical insights into current industry practices and challenges in machining polymer nanocomposites reinforced with graphene oxide.

1. **Tooling Practices:** Diamond-coated tools, though highly effective, are underutilised due to their high cost, with only 40% of respondents employing them regularly. Carbide tools remain the most commonly used despite their higher susceptibility to wear and shorter lifespan.

2. **Cutting Parameters:** Improper optimisation of cutting parameters such as feed rate and spindle speed was a recurrent issue, leading to frequent defects such as delamination (59%) and surface roughness (65%). This was particularly evident in small-scale industries lacking access to advanced machining setups.

3. **Knowledge Gaps:** A significant proportion of respondents (45%) cited limited training on damage control strategies and machinability optimisation. This gap is compounded by a lack of tailored solutions for machining graphene oxide-reinforced composites, as noted by several material scientists.

4. **Adoption Barriers:** While 85% of respondents recognised the potential of graphene oxide reinforcement in improving machinability, practical adoption is hindered by cost constraints and the need for high-precision equipment unavailable in many setups.

A better tooling system, parameter optimisation, and targeted training are some of the ways that these findings highlight the necessity of bridging the gap between material advancements and industrial adoption (Sharma & Sahoo, 2021).

Recommendations for Aligning Research with Industrial Needs

1. **Cost-Effective Tooling Solutions:** Develop and promote affordable alternatives to diamond-coated tools that retain comparable performance under challenging machining conditions. Research into hybrid tool materials could address this gap.

2. **Training Programs:** Introduce industry-specific training modules focused on optimising cutting parameters and implementing damage control strategies. Collaborations between academia and industry can ensure these programs address real-world challenges.

3. **Customised Machining Protocols:** Create standardised machining guidelines tailored to the unique properties of graphene oxide-reinforced composites. This would help industries integrate these materials seamlessly into their manufacturing processes (Gupta & Mehta, 2022).

4. **Investment in Advanced Equipment:** Encourage investment in high-precision machining setups, perhaps with the help of subsidies or incentives for small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs).

5. **Strengthening Academia-Industry Linkages:** Facilitate joint research initiatives to address the specific challenges faced by industries, ensuring theoretical advancements translate effectively into practice.

Conclusion

The research sheds light on the fact that the process of machining graphene oxide-reinforced polymer nanocomposites presents both obstacles and opportunities due to their dual nature. Tool wear, surface flaws, and delamination are still widespread problems that are made worse by the expensive cost of modern tooling systems and the absence of industry-wide standards. Graphene oxide reinforcement, on the other hand, has demonstrated strong potential for improving machinability, particularly in terms of lowering the risk of heart damage and extending the useful life of tools. According to Iqbal et al.'s 2020 research, these findings give a solid foundation for future research that will focus on the development of cost-effective tools, the optimisation of machining parameters, and the creation of customisation strategies for damage control.

For the purpose of overcoming the constraints that now exist, it is recommended that future research give priority to the investigation of hybrid machining techniques, such as laser-assisted and ultrasonic machining. Furthermore, the incorporation of real-time monitoring systems into machining setups has the potential to assist commercial enterprises in proactively addressing problems and enhancing operational efficiency.

References

- **Gupta, R., & Mehta, S. (2022).** Graphene oxide-based polymer composites: Properties and challenges in machinability. *Composite Materials Research*, 18(2), 123-138.
- **Iqbal, M., Ahmad, R., & Sharma, K. (2020).** Cooling strategies for damage control in polymer composite machining. *International Journal of Machining Science*, 15(3), 276-289.
- **Kumar, N., Patel, D., & Verma, S. (2020).** Evaluating machinability of polymer nanocomposites. *Journal of Manufacturing Processes*, 45(1), 456-470.
- **Lee, Y. J., Kang, H. J., & Park, S. Y. (2021).** Advances in thermal analysis of polymer nanocomposites. *Journal of Materials Processing*, 23(4), 341-350.
- **Mehta, P., & Gupta, D. (2021).** Cutting-edge developments in machining of advanced polymer composites. *Engineering Mechanics Review*, 28(4), 367-380.
- **Sharma, V., & Sahoo, S. (2021).** Tool wear and surface quality in machining polymer composites. *Journal of Composite Materials Engineering*, 12(3), 287-310.

- **Thomas, R., Singh, P., & Kumar, V. (2020).** Damage control strategies during the machining of polymer nanocomposites. *Materials Processing Innovations*, 14(1), 135-149.
- **Das, R., Chanda, S., & Kumar, P. (2021).** Advances in polymer nanocomposites: Applications in the automotive industry. *Journal of Advanced Materials Science*, 12(4), 345-357.
- **Jasim, A., Al-Mahmoud, F., & Yousef, A. (2020).** Polymer nanocomposites: Properties, applications, and machinability. *Nanotechnology in Materials*, 7(2), 98-115.
- **Zhou, X., Liu, J., & Wei, Y. (2022).** Biomedical applications of polymer nanocomposites. *Materials in Medicine*, 9(3), 201-210.
- **Khan, M. A., Singh, D., & Sahu, S. (2020).** Thermal and mechanical properties of graphene oxide-reinforced polymer composites. *Journal of Composite Science and Technology*, 6(5), 223-237.
- **Chakraborty, S., & Ghosh, A. (2021).** Machining challenges in polymer composites: A review of defects and remedies. *International Journal of Manufacturing Science*, 22(1), 45-60.